



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|

08/030,194 04/28/93 KHANDROS

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ &
 MENTLIK
 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST
 WESTFIELD, NJ 07090

I TESSERA3.300

EXAMINER

CLARK, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2503

DATE MAILED:

10/27/95

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

- ☐ This application has been examined ☒ Responsive to communication filed on 6-28-95 ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), — days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

1. ☐ Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
3. ☐ Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.
5. ☐ Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
4. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-6, 15-21, 36-38, 61-80 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☐ Claims _____ have been cancelled.
3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 1-6, 15-21, 36-38, 61-80 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
12. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other _____

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial No. 08/030,194

-2-

Art Unit 2503

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an adequate written description of the invention.

It is unclear where the disclosure discusses a semiconductor chip and a distinct flexible sheetlike element formed separate thereto. The disclosure and drawings appear to provide the chip and flexible element as provided in combination. The claims however appear to establish said flexible element and chip as distinct components not attached thereto.

It is further unclear where the movable terminal feature is disclosed in the disclosure.

It is further unclear where the disclosure discusses a securement element and what defines a securement element.

It is further unclear where the disclosure discusses a distinction on between a movable lead and a flexible lead and if the movable lead and flexible lead are one in the same. Is there a distinction between the layer of compressible material and

Serial No. 08/030,194

-3-


Art Unit 2503


flexible sheetlike element and if so where the distinctions are addressed in the disclosure.

Claims 1-6, 15-21, 36-38, 61-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner S.V. Clark whose telephone number is (703) 308-4924.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.


SHEILA V. CLARK
EXAMINER
GROUP 2500


Clark/EW
October 25, 1995